

**REMARKS**

**I. Rejections Under 35 USC §112**

At page 2 of the Office Action, claims 1-8, 12, 15-16, and 19-29 are rejected under 35 USC §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicant regards as the invention.

In response to the rejection, the Applicant has removed references to "substantial portions" in claims 1, 7, 12, 19, 25, 27, and 29, and removed the references to "certain portions" in claims 15 and 16.

Accordingly, the Applicant believes that the rejection should be withdrawn.

At pages 2-3 of the Office Action, claims 17 and 24 are rejected under 35 USC §112, first paragraph, for failing to comply with the enablement requirement. In response to the rejection, the Applicant has canceled claims 17 and 24.

Accordingly, the Applicant believes that the rejection should be withdrawn.

**II. Allowable Subject Matter**

At page 8 of the Office Action, claims 2-3, 5, 11, and 20-23 are objected to as being dependent on a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim as well as any intervening claims.


In response to the objections, claim 1 has been amended to include the limitations of claim 2, claim 9 has been amended to include the limitations of claim 11, claim 18, 19, 25, and 29 have been amended to include the limitations of claim 20.

Claims 2, 4, 11, and 20 have been canceled.

**III. Additional Fees**

It is not believed that additional fees are due at this time; however, if any additional fee is required in connection with the filing of this response, please charge the fee to Deposit Account No. 08-2025.

Respectfully Submitted,  
Derek J. Whiteside et al.

By:   
Jeff D. Limon  
Agent for the Applicants  
Registration Number 45,418

Hewlett-Packard Company  
Legal Department  
1000 NE Circle Blvd.  
Corvallis, OR 97330  
Telephone: (541) 715-5979  
Fax: (541) 715-8581